

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/963,341	MATTES ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Susan Hanley	1651	

All Participants:

(1) Susan Hanley.

(2) Patrick Eagleman.

Status of Application: amended

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 10 June 2005
Time: 3:00
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

103

Claims discussed:

59-66 and 69-74

Prior art documents discussed:


Chan, Lebing

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Eagleman agreed to an Examiner's amendment that incorporates the addition of a detergent into claim 59. Examiner Hanley agreed to fix the misnumbered claims (there are two claims labelled "74") present in the amendment filed 3/24/05.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)